2021 – 2030 Ten Year Plan: Final Regional Project Prioritization

North Country Council Transportation Advisory Committee April 11th, 2019



Ten Year Plan Overview

• Each 2 year-cycle

- RPC's are given a regional allocation for new projects (\$6.1 million for NCC region)
- Projects submitted by communities to RPC's for consideration
- RPC's rank and submit initial list of regional projects to NHDOT
- NHDOT develops planning-level engineering cost estimates, provide feedback to RPC's
- RPC's incorporate feedback and submit final project list
- GACIT develops draft TYP and conducts public hearings
- GACIT submits draft TYP to Governor and Council
- G & C submits draft TYP to legislature
- Governor signs TYP



Initial Project Prioritization Process

- Regional project rankings submitted by RPC's
 - Criteria developed by NHDOT; weighted by NCC & TAC
 - NCC staff develop draft rankings
 - TAC reviews no formal vote for initial submission



Criteria Weights

Criteria Weights			
Criteria	Weight		
Mobility			
Reduce Congestion	4%		
Freight Mobility	7%		
Alternative Modes	8%		
Network Significance			
Traffic Volume	5%		
Facility Importance	18%		
Safety			
Safety Measures	15%		
Safety Performance	8%		
State of Repair			
Roadway Surface Life	8%		
Bridge Asset Condition	0%		
Support	12%		
Resiliency	15%		





NHDOT Revisions to Project Proposals

- Significant increases in cost estimates (statewide trend)
 - Cost estimates based on actual project/unit costs from previous Ten Year Plan projects
 - Federal funding drives up project costs
 - Estimated year-of-expenditure: 2.55% annual inflation applied
- Modification of scope for some projects



City of Berlin Proposal: *NH 16 Reconstruction & Sidewalk Improvements*

- Total project cost: \$3,885,000
- Reconstruct NH 16 from Cleveland Bridge to Exchange St
- Rehabilitate NH 16 from Gorham Town Line to Cleveland Bridge
- Replace sidewalks



Berlin: *NH 16 Reconstruction & Sidewalk Improvements*





Berlin: *NH 16 Reconstruction & Sidewalk Improvements*

			Weighted
Criteria	Weight	Score (1-10)	Score
Mobility			
Reduce Congestion	4%	5	
Freight Mobility	7%	6.5	
Alternative Modes	8%	7.5	
Network Significance			
Traffic Volume	5%	8	
Facility Importance	18%	9	
Safety			7.0
Safety Measures	15%	6.5	
Safety Performance	8%	5	
State of Repair			
Roadway Surface Life	8%	9	
Bridge Asset Condition	0%	0	
Support	12%	5	
Resiliency	15%	6.5	



NHDOT Revision: *NH 16 Reconstruction & Sidewalk Improvements*

- Total project cost: \$11,790,565
- 20% match required (urban compact area)
- Ten Year Plan cost: \$9,432,452
- Exceeds \$6.1 million regional allocation *adequate funding not available to support inclusion in FY 2021-2030 TYP*
- Project would require moving utilities, upgrading retaining walls, and potential ROW expansion
- No proposed changes to overall scope



Berlin: *NH 16 Reconstruction & Sidewalk Improvements*

			Weighted
Criteria	Weight	Score (1-10)	Score
Mobility			
Reduce Congestion	4%	5	
Freight Mobility	7%	6.5	
Alternative Modes	8%	7.5	
Network Significance			
Traffic Volume	5%	8	
Facility Importance	18%	9	
Safety			7.0
Safety Measures	15%	6.5	
Safety Performance	8%	5	
State of Repair			
Roadway Surface Life	8%	9	
Bridge Asset Condition	0%	0	
Support	12%	5	
Resiliency	15%	6.5	



City of Berlin Proposal: NH 110 Reconstruction/Rehabilitation

- Total project cost: \$4,665,000
- Rehabilitate NH 110 from end of NH 110 Phase II project (near Public Works Garage) to urban compact line
- Reconstruct NH 110 from urban compact line to Jericho Mountain Road



Berlin: NH 110 Reconstruction/Rehabilitation





Berlin: NH 110 Reconstruction/Rehabilitation

Criteria	Weight	Score (1-10)	Weighted Score
Mobility			
Reduce Congestion	4%	5	
Freight Mobility	7%	5	
Alternative Modes	8%	6.5	
Network Significance			
Traffic Volume	5%	4	
Facility Importance	18%	6.5	
Safety			5.7
Safety Measures	15%	6	
Safety Performance	8%	5	
State of Repair		_	
Roadway Surface Life	8%	6	
Bridge Asset Condition	0%	0	
Support	12%	5	
Resiliency	15%	6	



NHDOT Revision: NH 110 Reconstruction/Rehabiliation

- Total project cost: \$12,405,862
- Exceeds \$6.1 million regional allocation *adequate funding not* available to support inclusion in FY 2021-2030 TYP
- Project would require widening of reconstructed portion of NH 110 to meet 4' shoulder requirements (include bridge widening) – significant costs with utility relocation and ROW acquisition



Berlin: NH 110 Reconstruction/Rehabilitation

Criteria	Weight	Score (1-10)	Weighted Score
Mobility			
Reduce Congestion	4%	5	
Freight Mobility	7%	5	
Alternative Modes	8%	6.5	
Network Significance			
Traffic Volume	5%	4	
Facility Importance	18%	6.5	
Safety			5.7
Safety Measures	15%	6	
Safety Performance	8%	5	
State of Repair		_	
Roadway Surface Life	8%	6	
Bridge Asset Condition	0%	0	
Support	12%	5	
Resiliency	15%	6	



Gorham: *NH 16 Retaining Wall, Road Re-alignment, and Culvert Replacement*



Gorham: *NH 16 Retaining Wall, Road Re-alignment, and Culvert Replacement*





Town of Gorham Proposal: *NH 16 Retaining Wall, Road Re-alignment, and Culvert Replacement*

- Total project cost: \$1,200,000
- Realign roadway to reduce angle of the curve
- Construct new retaining wall and improve drainage
- Upgrade culvert to match bankfull width of the stream, stream angle, and stream orientation



Gorham: *NH 16 Retaining Wall, Road Re-alignment, and Culvert Replacement*

Criteria	Weight	Score (1-10)	Weighted Score
Mobility		-	
Reduce Congestion	4%	5	
Freight Mobility	7%	7	
Alternative Modes	8%	6	
Network Significance			
Traffic Volume	5%	7	
Facility Importance	18%	9	
Safety			7.5
Safety Measures	15%	8.5	
Safety Performance	8%	7.5	
State of Repair			
Roadway Surface Life	8%	7	
Bridge Asset Condition	0%	0	
Support	12%	8	
Resiliency	15%	6.5	



NHDOT Revision: *NH 16 Retaining Wall, Road Re-alignment, and Culvert Replacement*

- Total project cost: \$3,457,078
- Replace crib wall with new retaining wall (500' long x 4-6' high)
- Move retaining wall into hillside and install proper drainage
- Roadway realignment removed high cost, less safety benefit than addressing retaining wall & drainage
- Culvert perched outlet being addressed through Bridge Maintenance program (separate)



Gorham: *NH 16 Retaining Wall, Road Re-alignment, and Culvert Replacement*

Criteria	Weight	Score (1-10)	Weighted Score
Mobility			
Reduce Congestion	4%	5	
Freight Mobility	7%	7	
Alternative Modes	8%	6	
Network Significance			
Traffic Volume	5%	7	
Facility Importance	18%	9	
Safety			7.4
Safety Measures	15%	8	
Safety Performance	8%	7.5	
State of Repair			
Roadway Surface Life	8%	7	
Bridge Asset Condition	0%	0	
Support	12%	8	
Resiliency	15%	6	



Town of Littleton Proposal *Main Street Phase II – Road Reconstruction, Pedestrian Improvements, Intersection Improvements*

- Total project cost: \$3,200,000
- Reconstruct west end of Main Street and Meadow Street
- Replace sidewalk and add bumpouts to reduce crossing distances
- Re-route Saranac Street to Bridge Street (locally-funded)
- Time with sub-area II sewer project



Littleton: Main Street Phase II – Road Reconstruction, Pedestrian Improvements, Intersection Improvements



Littleton: Main Street Phase II – Road Reconstruction, Pedestrian Improvements, Intersection Improvements

Criteria	Weight	Score (1-10)	Weighted Score
Mobility			
Reduce Congestion	4%	5	
Freight Mobility	7%	5	
Alternative Modes	8%	8.5	
Network Significance			
Traffic Volume	5%	9	
Facility Importance	18%	9	
Safety			6.9
Safety Measures	15%	8	
Safety Performance	8%	7.5	
State of Repair			
Roadway Surface Life	8%	9	
Bridge Asset Condition	0%	0	
Support	12%	3	
Resiliency	15%	5	



NHDOT Revision:

Main Street Phase II – Road Reconstruction, Pedestrian Improvements, Intersection Improvements

- Total project cost: \$4,316,780
- Would be structured as a municipally-managed, Local Public Agency project (20% match required)
- Ten Year Plan cost: \$3,453,424
- Selectboard voted to support revised approach
- Funds would need to be budgeted for and approved via warrant article
- Looming challenge of Saranac St/Bridge St realignment (unfunded)



Littleton: Main Street Phase II – Road Reconstruction, Pedestrian Improvements, Intersection Improvements

Criteria	Weight	Score (1-10)	Weighted Score
Mobility			
Reduce Congestion	4%	5	
Freight Mobility	7%	5	
Alternative Modes	8%	8.5	
Network Significance			
Traffic Volume	5%	9	
Facility Importance	18%	9	
Safety			6.9
Safety Measures	15%	8	
Safety Performance	8%	7.5	
State of Repair			
Roadway Surface Life	8%	9	
Bridge Asset Condition	0%	0	
Support	12%	3	
Resiliency	15%	5	





Kidder Brook

- 2001 Corridor Study identifies flooding issues
- 2014 assessment
 - Structure condition: poor
 - Partial geomorphic compatibility
 - Directly upstream bankfull widths: 13-16 feet
 - Channel bankfull width: 9 12 feet
 - Culvert width: 5 feet
 - No aquatic organism passage
 - No wingwalls to direct water





Josh Brook

- 2018 assessment
 - Structure condition: good
 - Geomorphic compatibility: mostly incompatible
 - Directly upstream bankfull widths: 17 - 45 feet
 - Channel bankfull width: 19 29 feet
 - Culvert width: 6 feet
 - Evidence of significant flood damage downstream





Town of Shelburne Proposal *US 2 Culvert Upgrades*

- Total project cost: \$1,485,000
- Replace undersized round culverts at Kidder Brook and Josh Brook crossings with box culverts that span bankfull width of the stream and match the stream angle and orientation
- Raise roadway at Kidder Brook to improve vertical alignment and sightline



Criteria	Weight	Score (1-10)	Weighted Score
Mobility			
Reduce Congestion	4%	5	
Freight Mobility	7%	7.5	
Alternative Modes	8%	5	
Network Significance			
Traffic Volume	5%	4	
Facility Importance	18%	9.5	
Safety			7.5
Safety Measures	15%	7.5	
Safety Performance	8%	5	
State of Repair			
Roadway Surface Life	8%	7.5	
Bridge Asset Condition	0%	0	
Support	12%	8	
Resiliency	15%	9	



NHDOT Revision: US 2 Culvert Upgrades

- Total project cost: \$2,658,558
- Kidder Brook culvert removed from project scope scheduled to be improved through CRDR program in FY 2020 – 5x8' box culvert with wingwalls
 - DOT to investigate vertical alignment issues & potential speed limit reduction
- Josh Brook culvert included in project scope
- Culvert improvement will require relocation of aerial utilities



Criteria	Weight	Score (1-10)	Weighted Score
Mobility			
Reduce Congestion	4%	5	
Freight Mobility	7%	7.5	
Alternative Modes	8%	5	
Network Significance			
Traffic Volume	5%	4	
Facility Importance	18%	9.5	
Safety			7.2
Safety Measures	15%	6.5	
Safety Performance	8%	5	
State of Repair			
Roadway Surface Life	8%	7.5	
Bridge Asset Condition	0%	0	
Support	12%	8	
Resiliency	15%	8.5	



Preliminary Project Rankings from NCC (Fall 2018)

Rank	Municipality - Project	Score (out of 10)	Project Cost	Regional funding allocation: \$6.1
1	Gorham – NH 16 Realignment, Retaining Wall & Culvert Upgrade	7.5	\$1.2 million	million
1	Shelburne – US 2 Culvert Upgrades	7.5	\$1.5 million	
3	Berlin – NH 16 Roadway Reconstruction & Sidewalk Improvements	7.0	\$3.5 million	
4	Littleton – Main Street Phase II	6.9	\$3.2 million	
5	Berlin – NH 110 Roadway Reconstruction & Sidewalk Improvements	5.7	\$4.6 million	



Proposed Project Rankings from NCC (Spring 2019) Approach #1

Rank	Municipality - Project	Score (out of 10)	TYP Project Cost	Regional funding allocation: \$6.1 million
1	Gorham – NH 16 Retaining Wall	7.4	\$3.5 million	
1	Shelburne – Josh Brook Culvert (US 2)	7.2	\$2.7 million	
3	Berlin – NH 16 Roadway Reconstruction & Sidewalk Improvements	7.0	\$9.4 million	
4	Littleton – Main Street Phase II	6.9	\$3.4 million	
5	Berlin – NH 110 Roadway Reconstruction & Sidewalk Improvements	5.7	\$12.4 million	



Proposed Project Rankings from NCC (Spring 2019) Approach #2

Rank	Municipality - Project	Score (out of 10)	Project Cost	Regional funding allocation: \$6.1
1	Gorham – NH 16 Retaining Wall	7.4	\$3.5 million	million
2	Shelburne – Josh Brook Culvert (US 2)	7.2	\$2.7 million	
3	Littleton – Main Street Phase II	6.9	\$3.2 million	



Next Steps

- April 2019: NCC Commissioners approve RTIP
- May 2019: Deadline for NCC to submit project rankings
- June 2019: Draft TYP prepared by NHDOT
- July 2019: Beginning of GACIT process

